



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/578,411 | 05/05/2006 | Andrew Thomas Busey | 1002.10 | 2401 |
| 53953 7590 07/08/2008 DAVIS LAW GROUP, P.C. 6836 BEE CAVES ROAD SUITE 220 AUSTIN, TX 78746 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| TRAN, PHILIP B | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2155 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 07/08/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/578,411

Applicant(s)

BUSEY, ANDREW THOMAS

Examiner

Philip B. Tran

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/5/06 & 5/6/08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☒ Other: BIB sheet

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-11, 13-19, 22, 24-25, 27-33, 36, 38-39 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bates et al (Hereafter, Bates), U.S. Pat. No. 6,184,886.

Regarding claim 1, Bates teaches a method performed by at least one information handling system, the method comprising:

on a display device, within at least one web browser window, displaying a result of a search by a website in response to a search term query specified by a user, and displaying a list of folders (= displaying search result and a list of folders) [see Figs. 2 & 6];

on the display device, within the web browser window, highlighting at least a portion of the displayed result of the search by the website (= highlighting URLs) [see Fig. 6 and Col. 8, Lines 30-46]; and

in response to a command from the user via the web browser window, saving the highlighted portion in a folder that is selected by the user from among the displayed list

of folders (= adding URLs to selected folders) [see Fig. 6 and Col. 8, Line 56 to Col. 9, Line 6].

Regarding claim 2, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the displayed result of the search is: a result of a search of the website [see Col. 1, Line 64 to Col. 2, Line 67 and Col. 7, Lines 10-34].

Regarding claim 3, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the displayed result of the search is: a result of a search of the website, wherein the information handling system outputs a web services call to the website, and wherein the website performs the search in response to the web services call and outputs the result of the search to the information handling system [see Col. 1, Line 45 to Col. 2, Line 13].

Regarding claim 4, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the website is a first website, and wherein the displayed result of the search is: a result of a search of at least a second website [see Col. 1, Line 64 to Col. 2, Line 67 and Col. 7, Lines 10-34].

Regarding claim 5, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the website is a first website, and wherein the displayed result of the search is: a result of a search of at least a second website, wherein the first website performs the search by outputting a web services call to the second website, and wherein the second website

performs the search in response to the web services call and outputs the result of the search to the first website [see Col. 1, Line 45 to Col. 2, Line 13].

Regarding claim 8, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the displaying comprises: on the display device, displaying the result of the search after translation from an original result of the search, wherein the original result has a non-XML format [see Col. 1, Lines 45-63].

Regarding claim 10, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the displayed result of the search has a non-XML format [see Col. 1, Lines 45-63].

Regarding claim 11, Bates further teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the displayed result of the search has an HTML format [see Col. 1, Lines 45-63].

Regarding claim 13, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, and comprising: in the selected folder, marking the saved portion to identify whether it has been viewed by the user [see Col. 8, Line 30 to col. 9, Line 6].

Regarding claim 14, Bates further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the user is a first user, and comprising: in response to a command from the first user, selectively enabling access to the selected folder by one or more second users specified by the first user [see Figs. 7 & 8 and Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 6].

Claims 15-19, 22, 24-25 and 27-28 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-5, 8, 10-11 and 13-14.

Claims 29-33, 36, 38-39 41-42 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-5, 8, 10-11 and 13-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 6-7, 9, 12, 20-21, 23, 26, 34-35, 37 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al (Hereafter, Bates), U.S. Pat. No. 6,184,886.

Regarding claims 6-7 and 9, Bates does not explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein the displaying comprises: on the display device, displaying the result of the search after translation from an original result of the search, wherein the original result has an XML format, or wherein the original result has a generic XML format, or wherein the displayed result of the search is rendered directly from an XML format. However, XML data format is known and widely used in Web document. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to implement XML format in order to allow designers to create their own customized tags, enabling the definition, transmission, validation and interpretation of data between applications and between organizations.

Regarding claim 12, Bates does not explicitly teach the method of claim 10, wherein the saving comprises: translating the displayed result of the search from the non-XML format into an XML format. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to convert data from one format to another format such as XML format in order to enable the definition, transmission, validation and interpretation of data between applications and between organizations.

Claim 20-21 and 23 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 6-7 and 9.

Claim 26 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 12.

Claim 34-35 and 37 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 6-7 and 9.

Claim 40 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 12.

Other References Cited

5. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A) Ingram et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,206,839.
- B) Malik et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,023,701.
- C) Hennings et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,763,496.
- D) Avravamudan et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,732,145.
- E) Kundu, U.S. Pat. No. 67,181,681.
- F) Carroll et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,154,205.

6. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip B Tran/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2155
July 03, 2008